#### Page 1 of 6

# **ORDER SHEET** WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

#### Case No - MA-124 of 2018 (OA-610 of 2017).

	Raju Halder. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	<ul> <li>For the Applicant : Mrs.Sonali Mitra, Advocate.</li> <li>For the State Respondents: Mrs. Ruma Sarkar, Mr. Avijit Dutta, Departmental Representative</li> <li>The instant OA has been filed praying for following relief: <ul> <li>a) An order do issue directing the respondents, their agents, subordinates and successors to rescind, cancel and withdraw the impugned memo dated 21.06.2010 in Annexure-C to this application forthwith;</li> <li>b) An order do issue directing the respondent, their agents, subordinates and successors to grant compassionate appointment to the applicant under the died-in-harness category in any post commensurate with his educational qualification forthwith without any hindrance from any quarters;</li> <li>c) An order directing the respondents, their agents, subordinates and successors to produce all records and proceedings so that</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3
	conscionable justice may be administered by granting the relief for hereinabove;	

Raju Halder.

# Vs.

#### The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-124 of 2018 (OA-610 of 2017).

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	d) To pass such other or further orders or orders	
	as to the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and	
	proper;	
	As per the applicant his father died on	
	27.11.2009 while working as head clerk at B.L. &	
	L.R.O., Goalpokhar-I (Annexure A). At the time of	
	death, his father left behind his widow and two	
	sons including the applicant. Thereafter, the mother	
	of the applicant submitted application on	
	21.12.2009 to consider the case of the applicant	
	who was minor at that point of time for	
	compassionate appointment (Annexure B).	
	However, the respondent No.2 vide memo dated	
	21.06.2010 had rejected the candidature of the	
	applicant (Annexure C). As per the applicant, since	
	his elder brother was doing business but living	
	separately the applicant is suffering with financial	
	crisis and subsequently submitted another	
	application for compassionate appointment which	
	was again rejected by letter dated 26.09.2014.	
	Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant	
	application. As per the applicant after the death of	
	his father, they received some lump sum amount but	
	respondents are not considering his case.	
	The instant application was heard on	
	07.11.2017 and the departmental representatives	

Form No.

Raju Halder.

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>MA-124 of 2018 (OA-610 of 2017)</u> .		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	for the respondents had raised the preliminary	3
	objection with regard to the limitation. Thereafter,	
	the applicant filed aforementioned MA-124 of 2018	
	wherein it has been stated that the applicant came	
	to know about the rejection of his claim for	
	compassionate appointment lately. He contacted	
	one local advocate practising in Uttar Dinajpur	
	district and the said advocate assured him that he	
	will take care to prepare application to be filed	
	before this Tribunal. However, the applicant did not	
	receive any communication after prolonged time	
	then he visited his chamber in June 2015 but the	
	chamber was found under lock and key.	
	Thereafter, again she visited his chamber in	
	December 2015 and contacted Ld. Advocate. After	
	waiting for a long time, he instructed to file	
	application through another Ld. Advocate before this	
	Tribunal. However, in January 2017, he came to	
	know that his document has been somehow	
	misplaced by the said advocate and thereafter he	
	has again submitted his documents to the said	
	advocate for preparation of the case. However,	
	ultimately the application was filed on 07.07.2017.	
	Therefore, the applicant has prayed for condonation	
	of delay for filing the application. The departmental	
	representative, however, has raised objection to	

Form No.

#### 511110.

Raju Halder.

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-124	e No. <u>MA-124 of 2018 (OA-610 of 2017)</u> .	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	allow the instant MA as the explanation given in the	
	miscellaneous application is vague one. Further, in	
	the instant application for condonation of delay, the	
	applicant has only stated reasons for delay since	
	2015 to 2017, whereas he has only challenged the	
	earlier order of 2010. Further, he has not even	
	challenged the order of 2015. It has been further	
	submitted by the respondent that the application	
	filed by his mother was rejected on the ground that	
	his elder brother was doing business and the	
	applicant was minor at the time of death of his	
	father. Therefore, the applicant has no case on	
	merit. Thus, the respondent has prayed for rejection	
	of the instant MA.	
	We have heard both the parties and perused	
	the records. It is noted that the respondents vide	
	their Memo dated 21.06.2010 had rejected the claim	
	of mother of the applicant with following	
	observation;	
	"With reference to above, this is to	
	inform him that the family of the	
	deceased employee is not in need of	
	immediate employment assistance.	
	a businessman and the younger son	
	They want to wait as the elder son is	

Form No.

#### Raju Halder.

Form No.

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

ase No. MA-124 of 2018 (OA-610 of 2017).		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	has not yet attained majority. The	
	prayer of Smt. Ambika Halder W/o.	
	Late Ramu Halder cannot be	
	entertained. The petitioner may be	
	informed accordingly."	
	From the perusal of the above order, it is noted	
	that the respondents have rejected the claim of his	
	mother on the ground that the applicant was minor	
	and his brother was doing business. However, the	
	mother of the applicant had probably again made an	
	application which was again rejected by the order	
	dated 26.09.2014. It is further noted that though	
	the applicant had challenged the order dated	
	21.06.2010 but, he has not challenged the order	
	dated 26.09.14 whereas in the application for	
	condonation of delay he has tried to explain the	
	cause of delay from 2015 onwards only. Moreover,	
	in the instant OA the applicant nowhere has	
	challenged the reasons for rejection rather he has	
	admitted that they received some lump sum amount	
	after the death of his father. Further, the reasons	
	for condonation of delay is not convincing one as the	
	delay from 2010 to 2017 even not have been	
	explained at all.	

Raju Halder.

....

### Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-124	of 2018 (OA-610 of 2017).	8
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	In view of the above, we are unable to	
	entertain the instant MA. Accordingly, the <b>MA and</b>	
	OA are dismissed with no order as to cost.	
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	

Form No.